

Physician Group Incentive Program - November 30, 2007 - Quarterly Meeting - Lansing Community College

Feedback Ratings

5=strongly agree, 3=neither agree/disagree, 1=strongly disagree

Overall rating for the day:

Criteria		190-Based on 44 forms returned										
		5		4		3		2		1		Total Ans.
1	As a result of this quarterly meeting, I have made new contacts.	16	40%	16	40%	6	15%	2	5%		0%	
2	As a result of this quarterly meeting, I have decided to participate in an interest group.	20	59%	6	18%	5	15%	3	9%	5	15%	34
3	The material presented in the large group session was helpful and understandable.	21	49%	17	40%	5	12%		0%		0%	43
4	The presenters were clear and kept my interest.	22	51%	18	42%	3	7%		0%		0%	43
5	The location was suitable.	27	64%	12	29%	2	5%	1	2%		0%	42
6	The food was satisfactory.	28	68%	10	24%	3	7%		0%		0%	41
7	The technical capabilities were adequate.	24	59%	14	34%	3	7%		0%		0%	41
8	The time allowed for the meeting was appropriate.	22	42%	17	32%	14	26%		0%		0%	53
Raw Score		180		110		41		6		5		337
Percentage		53%		33%		12%		2%		1%		
Overall Ratings		86% =time spent at meeting agreeable					3% =time spent at meeting disagreeable					

Physician Group Incentive Program - November 30, 2007 - Quarterly Meeting - Lansing Community College

Interest Group Session Feedback Ratings

5=strongly agree, 3=neither agree/disagree, 1=strongly disagree

Afternoon Break-out Sessions:

Criteria		23-Two forms returned										
		5		4		3		2		1		Total Ans.
1	The breakout session on Oncology had new information for participants.	1	50%	1	50%							
2	Created an opportunity to collaborate with established workgroup members.	2	100%		0%							2
3	Created an opportunity to collaborate with a new contact.	2	100%		0%							2
4	The location for the breakout session was suitable.	1	50%	1	50%							2
5	The technical capabilities for the breakout session were adequate.		0%	2	100%							2
6	The time allowed for the breakout session was appropriate.		0%	2	100%							2
Score		6		6								12
Percentage		50%		50%								

Criteria		34-Five forms returned										
		5		4		3		2		1		Total Ans.
1	The breakout session on System Integration had new information for participants.	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%					
2	Created an opportunity to collaborate with established workgroup members.	2	40%	2	40%	1	20%					5
3	Created an opportunity to collaborate with a new contact.	2	40%	1	20%	2	40%					5
4	The location for the breakout session was suitable.	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%					5
5	The technical capabilities for the breakout session were adequate.	3	60%	1	20%	1	20%					5
6	The time allowed for the breakout session was appropriate.	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%					5
Raw Score		17		9		4						30
Percentage		57%		30%		13%						

Physician Group Incentive Program - November 30, 2007 - Quarterly Meeting - Lansing Community College

Interest Group Session Feedback Ratings

5=strongly agree, 3=neither agree/disagree, 1=strongly disagree

		5	4	3	2	1						Total Ans.
		51-Twenty forms returned										
1	The breakout session on PCMH/PCV had new information for participants.	8	40%	10	55%	1	5%	0	0%	1	5%	20
2	Created an opportunity to collaborate with established workgroup members.	7	35%	7	35%	5	25%	1	5%	0	0%	20
3	Created an opportunity to collaborate with a new contact.	5	25%	7	35%	7	35%	1	5%	0	0%	20
4	The location for the breakout session was suitable.	9	45%	8	40%	3	15%	0	0%	0	0%	20
5	The technical capabilities for the breakout session were adequate.	7	35%	10	50%	3	15%	0	0%	0	0%	20
6	The time allowed for the breakout session was appropriate.	9	45%	6	30%	3	15%	2	10%	0	0%	20
Raw Score		45		48		19		4		1		120
Percentage		38%		40%		16%		3%		1%		

		5	4	3	2	1						Total Ans.
		27-Seven forms returned										
1	The breakout session on Org. Function had new information for participants.	5	71%	2	29%				0%		0%	7
2	Created an opportunity to collaborate with established workgroup members.	3	43%	3	43%				0%	1	14%	7
3	Created an opportunity to collaborate with a new contact.	4	57%	2	29%				0%	1	14%	7
4	The location for the breakout session was suitable.	6	86%	1	14%				0%		0%	7
5	The technical capabilities for the breakout session were adequate.	5	71%	1	14%				0%		0%	6
6	The time allowed for the breakout session was appropriate.	5	71%	1	14%			1	14%		0%	7
Raw Score		28		10				1		2		41
Percentage		68%		24%				2%		5%		

Criteria		5	4	3	2	1						Total Ans.
		33-Thirteen forms returned										
1	The breakout session on Pharmacy had new information for participants.	2	15%	9	69%	2	15%					13
2	Created an opportunity to collaborate with established workgroup members.	3	23%	7	54%	3	23%					13
3	Created an opportunity to collaborate with a new contact.	3	23%	7	54%	3	23%					13
4	The location for the breakout session was suitable.	10	77%	2	15%	1	8%					13
5	The technical capabilities for the breakout session were adequate.	8	62%	3	23%	1	8%					12
6	The time allowed for the breakout session was appropriate.	6	46%	5	38%	2	15%					13
Raw Score		32		33		12						77
Percentage		41%		42%		15%						

		5	4	3	2	1						Total Ans.
		51-Twelve forms returned										
1	The breakout session on Perf. Imp. & Rpt. had new information for participants.	3	25%	6	50%	1	8%			2	17%	12
2	Created an opportunity to collaborate with established workgroup members.	2	17%	6	50%	1	8%	2	17%	1	8%	12
3	Created an opportunity to collaborate with a new contact.	2	18%	4	36%	3	27%	2	18%			11
4	The location for the breakout session was suitable.	4	33%	7	58%	1	8%					12
5	The technical capabilities for the breakout session were adequate.	3	25%	6	50%	3	25%					12
6	The time allowed for the breakout session was appropriate.	2	18%	6	55%	2	18%			1	9%	11
Raw Score		16		35		11		4		4		70
Percentage		23%		50%		16%		17%		11%		